

New reflection matrices for the $U_q(gl(m|n))$ case

Anastasia Doikou and Nikos Karaiskos

University of Patras, Department of Engineering Sciences,
GR-26500 Patras, Greece

adoikou@upatras.gr, nkaraiskos@upatras.gr

Abstract

We examine super symmetric representations of the B -type Hecke algebra. We exploit such representations to obtain new non-diagonal solutions of the reflection equation associated to the super algebra $U_q(gl(m|n))$. The boundary super algebra is briefly discussed and it is shown to be central to the super symmetric realization of the B -type Hecke algebra

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Deriving reflection matrices	2
2.1	Preliminaries	2
2.2	Reflection matrices from the B -type Hecke algebra	4
3	The boundary super algebra; central elements	10

1 Introduction

There exist various investigations regarding the solution of the reflection equation [1, 2], and although these studies in the case of the usual Lie algebras and their q -deformed counterparts [3]–[13] are rather exhaustive there seem to exist gaps when one looks at the corresponding solutions associated to the super symmetric algebras. An exhaustive classification of solutions of the reflection equation associated to a specific algebra is a fundamental physical and mathematical issue, given that such investigations provide new boundary conditions that may for instance drastically alter the physical behavior as well as the symmetry governing the corresponding system.

One finds numerous works presenting diagonal and non-diagonal solutions of the reflection equation associated to various super algebras. More precisely, in [14] diagonal and non-diagonal solutions are derived for the super Yangians $osp(m|n)$ and $gl(m|n)$, while in [15] non-diagonal solutions are obtained for the $sl(2|1)$ case (super symmetric t-J model). In [16, 17] purely diagonal solutions for the $U_q(gl(m|n))$ are presented. Non-diagonal solutions of the reflection equation associated to the deformed algebras $U_q(sl(r|2m)^{(2)})$ and $U_q(osp(r|2m))$ are presented in [18], whereas in [19] a generic solution for the $U_q(sl(1|1))$ case is derived. Here, we shall focus on the general $U_q(gl(m|n))$ algebra and we shall find non-diagonal solutions of the reflection equation. Note that this is the first time that non-diagonal solutions for the generic $U_q(gl(m|n))$ case are derived.

The main aim of the present investigation is the study of non-diagonal super symmetric representations of the B-type Hecke algebra [20, 21, 22]. Using these representations we

shall be able to identify new non-diagonal solutions of the reflection equation associated to $U_q(gl(m|n))$. Our main result is the derivation of non-diagonal solutions of purely bosonic or fermionic type as well as mixed ones. The mixed solutions occur only in the $U_q(gl(m|2k))$ case and are associated to the symmetric Dynkin diagram. Moreover, based on these non-diagonal solutions we extract the associated boundary non-local charges, which form the boundary super algebra and in the fundamental representation, are central to the super symmetric realization of the B -type Hecke algebra.

The outline of this paper is as follows: in the subsequent section we introduce some basic notation on the super algebras and we also recall the $U_q(\widehat{gl(m|n)})$ R -matrix and the corresponding super symmetric representations of the A -type Hecke algebra. We then derive novel super symmetric representations of the B -type Hecke algebra and we identify the relevant non-diagonal reflection matrices. In section 3 we discuss the boundary super algebras associated to the aforementioned reflection matrix. We extract the boundary non-local charges, which form the boundary super algebra and are central to the B -type Hecke algebra.

2 Deriving reflection matrices

Our primary objective in this section is to derive new non-diagonal solutions of the reflection equation. This will be achieved by using super symmetric representations of the B -type Hecke algebra, which will be defined subsequently. Both the representations as well as the non-diagonal K -matrices are novel.

2.1 Preliminaries

Before we proceed presenting our main results it is necessary to introduce some useful notation associated to super algebras. Consider the $m + n = \mathcal{N}$ dimensional column vectors $\hat{e}_i$, with 1 at position i and zero everywhere else, and the $\mathcal{N} \times \mathcal{N}$ e_{ij} matrices defined as $(e_{ij})_{kl} = \delta_{ik}\delta_{jl}$. Then define the gradings:

$$[\hat{e}_i] = [i], \quad [e_{ij}] = [i] + [j]. \quad (2.1)$$

The tensor product is graded as:

$$(A_{ij} \otimes A_{kl})(A_{mn} \otimes A_{pq}) = (-1)^{([k]+[l])([m]+[n])} A_{ij} A_{mn} \otimes A_{kl} A_{pq}. \quad (2.2)$$

It is also convenient for what follows to introduce the distinguished and symmetric grading, corresponding apparently to the distinguished and symmetric Dynkin diagrams. In the distinguished grading we define:

$$[i] = \begin{cases} 0, & 1 \leq i \leq m, \\ 1, & m+1 \leq i \leq m+n. \end{cases} \quad (2.3)$$

In the $gl(m|2k)$ case we also define the symmetric grading as:

$$[i] = \begin{cases} 0, & 1 \leq i \leq k, \quad m+k+1 \leq i \leq m+2k \\ 1, & k+1 \leq i \leq m+k. \end{cases} \quad (2.4)$$

We shall focus here, as already mentioned, on the $U_q(\widehat{gl(m|n)})$ algebra. The R -matrix associated to this algebra satisfies the graded Yang-Baxter equation [23, 24]

$$R_{12}(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2) R_{13}(\lambda_1) R_{23}(\lambda_2) = R_{23}(\lambda_2) R_{13}(\lambda_1) R_{12}(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2), \quad (2.5)$$

and is given by the following expressions [25]:

$$R(\lambda) = \sum_{i=1}^{\mathcal{N}} a_i(\lambda) e_{ii} \otimes e_{ii} + b(\lambda) \sum_{i \neq j=1}^{\mathcal{N}} e_{ii} \otimes e_{jj} + \sum_{i \neq j=1}^{\mathcal{N}} c_{ij}(\lambda) e_{ij} \otimes e_{ji}, \quad (2.6)$$

where we define

$$a_j(\lambda) = \sinh(\lambda + i\mu - 2i\mu[j]), \quad b(\lambda) = \sinh(\lambda), \quad c_{ij}(\lambda) = \sinh(i\mu) e^{\text{sign}(j-i)\lambda} (-1)^{[j]}. \quad (2.7)$$

It is clear that the R -matrix may be written in the following form

$$R(\lambda) = e^\lambda R^+ - e^{-\lambda} R^-. \quad (2.8)$$

Also the matrix $\check{R} = PR$ may be written in terms of super symmetric representations of the A -type Hecke algebra; P is the permutation operator and it has the following form

$$P = \sum_{i,j} (-1)^{[j]} e_{ij} \otimes e_{ji}. \quad (2.9)$$

Indeed we have (see also [26]):

$$\check{R}_{ii+1}(\lambda) = \sinh(\lambda) U_i + \sinh(\lambda + i\mu), \quad (2.10)$$

where U_i is a super symmetric representation of the A -type Hecke algebra [20, 21]. We recall the A -type Hecke algebra $H_N(q)$ is defined by generators $\mathbb{U}_i$, $i = 1, \dots, N-1$, and exchange relations:

$$\mathbb{U}_i \mathbb{U}_{i+1} \mathbb{U}_i - \mathbb{U}_i = \mathbb{U}_{i+1} \mathbb{U}_i \mathbb{U}_{i+1} - \mathbb{U}_{i+1} \quad (2.11)$$

$$\mathbb{U}_i^2 = \delta \mathbb{U}_i \quad (2.12)$$

$$[\mathbb{U}_i, \mathbb{U}_j] = 0, \quad |i - j| > 1 \quad (2.13)$$

where $\delta = -(q + q^{-1})$ and $q = e^{i\mu}$.

Consider the following super symmetric representation [21] associated to the $U_q(\widehat{gl(m|n)})$ R -matrix. Let

$$\begin{aligned} U &= \sum_{a,b=1}^{\mathcal{N}} f_{ab} e_{ab} \otimes e_{ba} + \sum_{a,b=1}^{\mathcal{N}} t_{ab} e_{aa} \otimes e_{bb}, \quad \text{where} \\ f_{aa} &= 0, \quad f_{ab} = (-1)^{[b]} \quad a \neq b, \\ t_{aa} &= (-1)^{[a]} q^{1-2[a]} - q, \quad t_{ab} = -q^{-\text{sign}(a-b)} \quad a \neq b, \end{aligned} \quad (2.14)$$

then we obtain the super symmetric representation $\pi : H_N(q) \hookrightarrow \text{End}((\mathbb{C}^{\mathcal{N}})^{\otimes N})$ such that

$$\pi(\mathbb{U}_i) = U_i = \mathbb{I} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbb{I} \otimes \underbrace{U}_{i, i+1} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbb{I}. \quad (2.15)$$

2.2 Reflection matrices from the B -type Hecke algebra

Our aim now is to find super symmetric representations of the B -type Hecke algebra as candidate solutions of the super reflection equation [1, 2]

$$R_{12}(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2) K_1(\lambda_1) R_{21}(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2) K_2(\lambda_2) = K_2(\lambda_2) R_{12}(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2) K_1(\lambda_1) R_{21}(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2). \quad (2.16)$$

where R here is given by (2.6), (2.7).

Recall the B -type Hecke algebra [22] $B_N(q, Q)$ is defined by generators $\mathbb{U}_i$ that satisfy (2.11)-(2.13) and $\mathbb{U}_0$ with:

$$\mathbb{U}_1 \mathbb{U}_0 \mathbb{U}_1 \mathbb{U}_0 - \kappa \mathbb{U}_1 \mathbb{U}_0 = \mathbb{U}_0 \mathbb{U}_1 \mathbb{U}_0 \mathbb{U}_1 - \kappa \mathbb{U}_0 \mathbb{U}_1 \quad (2.17)$$

$$\mathbb{U}_0^2 = \delta_0 \mathbb{U}_0 \quad (2.18)$$

$$[\mathbb{U}_0, \mathbb{U}_i] = 0, \quad i > 1 \quad (2.19)$$

where it is convenient to parametrize as: $\delta_0 = -(Q + Q^{-1})$ and $\kappa = qQ^{-1} + q^{-1}Q$.

Inspired essentially by the structure of the representations in the non super symmetric case [9, 11, 10] we consider the following form for the non-diagonal super symmetric representation. Let

$$e = \sum_{a=1}^{\mathcal{N}} h_a e_{aa} + \sum_{a=1}^{\mathcal{N}} c_a e_{a\bar{a}} \quad (2.20)$$

where the parameters h_a, c_a are a priori free. We define generally the conjugate index $\bar{a}$ such that $[a] = [\bar{a}]$, and more specifically:

$$\bar{a} = 2k + m + 1 - a; \quad \text{Symmetric diagram}$$

$$\bar{a} = m + 1 - a, \quad a \text{ bosonic}; \quad \bar{a} = 2m + n + 1 - a, \quad a \text{ fermionic}; \quad \text{Distinguished diagram.} \quad (2.21)$$

Consider tensor type super symmetric representations of the B -type Hecke algebra; $\pi : B_N(q, Q) \hookrightarrow \text{End}((\mathbb{C}^{\mathcal{N}})^{\otimes N})$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(\mathbb{U}_i) &= U_i = \mathbb{I} \otimes \mathbb{I} \dots \otimes \underbrace{U}_{i, i+1} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbb{I} \\ \pi(\mathbb{U}_0) &= U_0 = \underbrace{e}_1 \otimes \mathbb{I} \dots \otimes \mathbb{I}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.22)$$

To prove that (2.20), (2.22) is a super symmetric representation although tedious is quite straightforward. We want U_1, U_0 to satisfy the B -type Hecke algebraic relations. First from the constraint (2.18):

$$U_0^2 = \sum_{a=1}^{\mathcal{N}} h_a (h_a + c_a c_{\bar{a}} h_a^{-1}) e_{aa} + \sum_{a=1}^{\mathcal{N}} c_a (h_a + h_{\bar{a}}) e_{a\bar{a}}. \quad (2.23)$$

From the equation above and (2.18) we conclude:

$$\begin{aligned} h_a &= h_{\bar{a}}^{-1}, \quad h_a + h_{\bar{a}} = -(Q + Q^{-1}), \quad c_a c_{\bar{a}} = 1, \\ \text{or} \quad h_a &= h_{\bar{a}} = c_a = c_{\bar{a}} = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.24)$$

Moreover, after some quite tedious algebra we conclude

$$\begin{aligned}
& U_0 U_1 U_0 U_1 - \kappa U_0 U_1 = \dots \\
& = \sum_{a,b} (t_{ab} f_{ab} h_a^2 + t_{\bar{a}b} f_{ab} c_a c_{\bar{a}} + f_{ab} t_{ba} h_a h_b - \kappa f_{ab} h_a) e_{ab} \otimes e_{ba} \\
& + \sum_{a,b} (t_{ab} t_{ab} c_{\bar{a}} h_a + f_{ab} f_{ba} c_{\bar{a}} h_b (-1)^{[a]+[b]} + t_{\bar{a}b} t_{ab} h_{\bar{a}} c_{\bar{a}} - \kappa c_{\bar{a}} t_{ab}) e_{\bar{a}a} \otimes e_{bb} \\
& + \sum_{a,b} f_{ab} t_{\bar{b}a} h_a c_b e_{a\bar{b}} \otimes e_{ba} \\
& + \sum_{ab} (f_{ab} t_{ba} c_{\bar{a}} h_b + f_{ab} t_{\bar{a}b} c_{\bar{a}} h_{\bar{a}} + f_{ab} t_{ab} c_{\bar{a}} h_a - \kappa c_{\bar{a}} f_{ab}) e_{\bar{a}b} \otimes e_{ba} \\
& + \sum_{a,b} f_{ab} t_{\bar{b}a} c_{\bar{a}} c_b e_{\bar{a}\bar{b}} \otimes e_{ba} + \sum_{a,b} f_{ab} f_{\bar{b}a} c_{\bar{a}} c_b (-1)^{[a]+[b]} e_{\bar{a}a} \otimes e_{b\bar{b}} \tag{2.25}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& U_1 U_0 U_1 U_0 - \kappa U_1 U_0 = \dots \\
& = \sum_{ab} (f_{ab} t_{\bar{b}a} c_b c_{\bar{b}} + t_{ab} f_{ab} h_a h_b + f_{ab} t_{ba} h_b^2 - \kappa f_{ab} h_b) e_{ab} \otimes e_{ba} \\
& + \sum_{a,b} (f_{\bar{a}b} f_{\bar{b}a} c_{\bar{a}} h_b (-1)^{[a]+[b]} + t_{\bar{a}b} t_{ab} c_{\bar{a}} h_a + t_{\bar{a}b} t_{\bar{a}b} h_{\bar{a}} c_{\bar{a}} - \kappa t_{\bar{a}b} c_{\bar{a}}) e_{\bar{a}a} \otimes e_{bb} \\
& + \sum_{a,b} (f_{ab} t_{ba} h_b c_b + f_{ab} t_{\bar{b}a} c_b h_{\bar{b}} + f_{ab} t_{ab} h_a c_b - \kappa f_{ab} c_b) e_{a\bar{b}} \otimes e_{ba} \\
& + \sum_{a,b} f_{ab} t_{\bar{a}b} c_{\bar{a}} h_b e_{\bar{a}b} \otimes e_{ba} \\
& + \sum_{a,b} f_{ab} t_{\bar{a}b} c_{\bar{a}} c_b e_{\bar{a}\bar{b}} \otimes e_{ba} + \sum_{a,b} f_{\bar{a}b} f_{\bar{b}a} c_{\bar{a}} c_b (-1)^{[a]+[b]} e_{\bar{a}a} \otimes e_{b\bar{b}}. \tag{2.26}
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, after appropriately combining the terms of the equations above we show that the quadratic constraint (2.17) is indeed satisfied provided that $\kappa = qQ^{-1} + q^{-1}Q$, and we can now derive the explicit form of the non-diagonal solution.

More specifically, the generic solution we find reads as follows:

A. Symmetric Dynkin diagram:

$$\begin{aligned}
h_a &= h_{\bar{a}}^{-1} = -Q^{-1}, \quad c_a c_{\bar{a}} = 1, \quad 1 \leq a \leq L, \\
h_a &= h_{\bar{a}} = c_a = c_{\bar{a}} = 0, \quad L < a \leq \frac{m+2k}{2}, \quad 1 \leq L \leq \frac{m+2k}{2}; \\
h_{\frac{m+2k+1}{2}} &= 0 \quad \text{if } m \text{ odd}. \tag{2.27}
\end{aligned}$$

Notice that in this case the solution may be purely bosonic purely fermionic and mixed.

B. Distinguished Dynkin diagram:

we obtain only purely bosonic solutions

$$\begin{aligned}
h_a &= h_{\bar{a}}^{-1} = -Q^{-1}, \quad c_a c_{\bar{a}} = 1 \quad 1 \leq a \leq L, \\
h_a &= h_{\bar{a}} = c_a = c_{\bar{a}} = 0 \quad L < a \leq \frac{m}{2}, \quad 1 \leq L \leq \frac{m}{2}; \\
h_{\frac{m+1}{2}} &= 0 \quad \text{if } m \text{ odd}, \\
\text{and } h_a &= h_{\bar{a}} = c_a = c_{\bar{a}} = 0, \quad a > m
\end{aligned} \tag{2.28}$$

or purely fermionic:

$$\begin{aligned}
h_a &= h_{\bar{a}}^{-1} = -Q^{-1}, \quad c_a c_{\bar{a}} = 1 \quad m+1 \leq a \leq L \\
h_a &= h_{\bar{a}} = c_a = c_{\bar{a}} = 0, \quad L < a \leq m + \frac{n}{2}, \quad m+1 \leq L \leq m + \frac{n}{2}; \\
h_{m+\frac{n+1}{2}} &= 0 \quad \text{if } n \text{ odd}, \\
\text{and } h_a &= h_{\bar{a}} = c_a = c_{\bar{a}} = 0, \quad a \leq m.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.29}$$

Note that the main technical reason why we obtain only purely bosonic or fermionic solutions in the distinguished Dynkin diagram is that in this case $t_{\bar{a}b} \neq t_{b\bar{a}}$ if the two indices are for instance a fermionic and b bosonic or vice versa, as opposed to the symmetric Dynkin diagram or the isotropic case ($q = 1$). This inequality makes the annihilation of certain terms appearing in (2.25) and (2.26) impossible, and thus the two expressions can not coincide.

The super symmetric representation is then expressed as:

A. Symmetric Dynkin diagram:

$$\begin{aligned}
e &= \sum_{a=1}^L (-Q^{-1} e_{aa} - Q e_{\bar{a}\bar{a}}) + \sum_{a=1}^L (c_a e_{a\bar{a}} + c_{\bar{a}} e_{\bar{a}a}), \quad c_a c_{\bar{a}} = 1, \\
1 \leq L &\leq \frac{m+2k}{2}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.30}$$

B. Distinguished Dynkin diagram:

we have either bosonic solutions

$$\begin{aligned} e &= \sum_{a=1}^L (-Q^{-1}e_{aa} - Qe_{\bar{a}\bar{a}}) + \sum_{a=1}^L (c_a e_{a\bar{a}} + c_{\bar{a}} e_{\bar{a}a}), \quad c_a c_{\bar{a}} = 1, \\ 1 &\leq L \leq \frac{m}{2}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.31)$$

or fermionic ones:

$$\begin{aligned} e &= \sum_{a=m+1}^L (-Q^{-1}e_{aa} - Qe_{\bar{a}\bar{a}}) + \sum_{a=m+1}^L (c_a e_{a\bar{a}} + c_{\bar{a}} e_{\bar{a}a}), \quad c_a c_{\bar{a}} = 1, \\ m+1 &\leq L \leq m + \frac{n}{2}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.32)$$

Using the theorem proved in [7, 8, 11] we may express the K -matrix solution of the reflection equation as:

$$\begin{aligned} K(\lambda) &= x(\lambda) \mathbb{I} + y(\lambda) e, \\ x(\lambda) &= -\frac{\delta_0}{2i \sinh(i\mu)} \cosh(2\lambda + i\mu) - \frac{\kappa}{2i \sinh(i\mu)} \cosh(2\lambda) - \cosh 2i\mu\zeta, \quad y(\lambda) = i \sinh(2\lambda). \end{aligned} \quad (2.33)$$

Let also $Q = ie^{i\mu m}$ then the non zero entries of the reflection matrix are written below:

A. Symmetric Dynkin diagram:

$$\begin{aligned} K_{aa}(\lambda) &= e^{2\lambda} \cosh i\mu m - \cosh 2i\mu\zeta, \quad K_{\bar{a}\bar{a}}(\lambda) = e^{-2\lambda} \cosh i\mu m - \cosh 2i\mu\zeta, \\ K_{a\bar{a}}(\lambda) &= ic_a \sinh 2\lambda, \quad K_{\bar{a}a}(\lambda) = ic_{\bar{a}} \sinh 2\lambda, \quad 1 \leq a \leq L \\ K_{aa}(\lambda) &= K_{\bar{a}\bar{a}}(\lambda) = \cosh(2\lambda + im\mu) - \cosh 2i\mu\zeta, \quad K_{a\bar{a}}(\lambda) = K_{\bar{a}a}(\lambda) = 0, \quad L < a \leq \frac{m+2k}{2}; \\ 1 &\leq L \leq \frac{m+2k}{2} \\ K_{AA} &= \cosh(2\lambda + im\mu) - \cosh 2i\mu\zeta, \quad A = \frac{m+2k+1}{2} \quad \text{if } m \text{ odd.} \end{aligned} \quad (2.34)$$

B. Distinguished Dynkin diagram:

bosonic:

$$\begin{aligned}
K_{aa}(\lambda) &= e^{2\lambda} \cosh i\mu m - \cosh 2i\mu\zeta, & K_{\bar{a}\bar{a}}(\lambda) &= e^{-2\lambda} \cosh i\mu m - \cosh 2i\mu\zeta, \\
K_{a\bar{a}}(\lambda) &= ic_a \sinh 2\lambda, & K_{\bar{a}a}(\lambda) &= ic_{\bar{a}} \sinh 2\lambda, & 1 \leq a \leq L \\
K_{aa}(\lambda) &= K_{\bar{a}\bar{a}}(\lambda) = \cosh(2\lambda + im\mu) - \cosh 2i\mu\zeta, & K_{a\bar{a}}(\lambda) &= K_{\bar{a}a}(\lambda) = 0, & L < a \leq \frac{m}{2}; \\
1 \leq L &\leq \frac{m}{2} \\
K_{AA} &= \cosh(2\lambda + im\mu) - \cosh 2i\mu\zeta, & A &= \frac{m+1}{2} & \text{if } m \text{ odd,} \\
\text{and } K_{aa}(\lambda) &= K_{\bar{a}\bar{a}}(\lambda) = \cosh(2\lambda + im\mu) - \cosh 2i\mu\zeta, & K_{a\bar{a}}(\lambda) &= K_{\bar{a}a}(\lambda) = 0, & a > m,
\end{aligned} \tag{2.35}$$

fermionic:

$$\begin{aligned}
K_{aa}(\lambda) &= e^{2\lambda} \cosh i\mu m - \cosh 2i\mu\zeta, & K_{\bar{a}\bar{a}}(\lambda) &= e^{-2\lambda} \cosh i\mu m - \cosh 2i\mu\zeta, \\
K_{a\bar{a}}(\lambda) &= ic_a \sinh 2\lambda, & K_{\bar{a}a}(\lambda) &= ic_{\bar{a}} \sinh 2\lambda, & m+1 \leq a \leq L \\
K_{aa}(\lambda) &= K_{\bar{a}\bar{a}}(\lambda) = \cosh(2\lambda + im\mu) - \cosh 2i\mu\zeta, & K_{a\bar{a}}(\lambda) &= K_{\bar{a}a}(\lambda) = 0, & L < a \leq m + \frac{n}{2}; \\
m+1 \leq L &\leq m + \frac{n}{2} \\
K_{AA} &= \cosh(2\lambda + im\mu) - \cosh 2i\mu\zeta, & A &= m + \frac{n+1}{2} & \text{if } n \text{ odd,} \\
\text{and } K_{aa}(\lambda) &= K_{\bar{a}\bar{a}}(\lambda) = \cosh(2\lambda + im\mu) - \cosh 2i\mu\zeta, & K_{a\bar{a}}(\lambda) &= K_{\bar{a}a}(\lambda) = 0, & a \leq m.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.36}$$

In the $q = 1$ case we get non-diagonal solutions of the isotropic $gl(m|n)$ case and the hyperbolic functions become rational. Also in the case that $n = 0$ we recover non super symmetric solutions found in [9, 10].

An interesting problem to pursue is the derivation of non-diagonal solutions associated to cyclotomic Hecke algebras, that is affine Hecke type algebras with more generic constraints instead of the quadratic one (2.18) i.e.

$$\sum_{k=1}^p \alpha_k \mathbb{U}_0^k = 0. \tag{2.37}$$

The latter is a significant question even in the context of $U_q(gl(n))$ algebras (see e.g. [9, 10]), especially when one analyzes the boundary behavior of $A_{n-1}^{(1)}$ affine Toda field theories [27].

In this case in particular as discussed in [27] the most general solution possible is needed in order to eliminate certain discrepancies arising at the classical Hamiltonian formalism. An exhaustive classification of the solutions of the (super) reflection equation based on the cyclotomic Hecke algebra will be pursued elsewhere.

3 The boundary super algebra; central elements

We shall now briefly discuss the so called boundary super algebra, which turns out to be central to the super symmetric realization of the B -type Hecke algebra. Let us first recall the associated double row transfer matrix [2]

$$t(\lambda) = str_0 \left\{ M_0 K_0^{(L)}(\lambda) \mathbb{T}_0(\lambda) \right\}. \quad (3.1)$$

$\mathbb{T}$ is a tensor representation of the reflection algebra

$$\mathbb{T}_0(\lambda) = T_0(\lambda) K_0(\lambda) \hat{T}_0(\lambda), \quad \hat{T}(\lambda) = T^{-1}(-\lambda), \quad (3.2)$$

where T is the monodromy matrix defined as [28, 29, 30]:

$$T_0(\lambda) = R_{0N}(\lambda) R_{0N-1}(\lambda) \dots R_{02}(\lambda) R_{01}(\lambda). \quad (3.3)$$

Also the matrix M is defined in the $U_q(gl(m|n))$ case as:

$$M = \sum_{k=1}^{\mathcal{N}} q^{\mathcal{N}-2k+1} q^{-2[k]+4 \sum_{i=1}^k [i]} e_{kk}. \quad (3.4)$$

It is also shown, using the fact that $\mathbb{T}$ and $K^{(L)}$ satisfy the reflection equation, that [2]

$$[t(\lambda), t(\mu)] = 0, \quad (3.5)$$

ensuring the integrability of the associated system. We consider here for simplicity the left boundary $K^{(L)} \propto \mathbb{I}$.

Due to the fact that the R -matrix reduces to the permutation operator for $\lambda = 0$, a local Hamiltonian may be deduced from $(\mathcal{H} \propto \frac{dt(\lambda)}{d\lambda}|_{\lambda=0})$, which can be expressed in terms of super symmetric representations of the B -type Hecke algebra (for more details see e.g. [8, 11]). Also, from the asymptotic behavior of $\mathbb{T}$, by keeping the leading contribution i.e. $\mathbb{T}(\lambda \rightarrow \infty) \sim \mathbb{T}^{\pm} + \mathcal{O}(e^{\mp 2\lambda})$, we obtain the so called boundary super algebra. More precisely, the

elements $\mathbb{T}_{ab}^\pm$ are the boundary non-local charges, which form the boundary super algebra – a non-abelian algebra in general – with exchange relations dictated by:

$$R_{12}^\pm \mathbb{T}_1^\pm R_{21}^\pm \mathbb{T}_2^\pm = \mathbb{T}_2^\pm R_{21}^\pm \mathbb{T}_1^\pm R_{12}^\pm. \quad (3.6)$$

In this context, it may be shown that the boundary super algebra is central to the super symmetric realization of the B -type Hecke algebra i.e. (the proof goes along the same lines as in [31]):

$$[U_i, \mathbb{T}_{ab}^\pm] = 0, \quad [U_0, \mathbb{T}_{ab}^\pm] = 0, \quad i \in \{1, \dots, N-1\}, \quad a, b \in \{1, \dots, \mathcal{N}\}, \quad (3.7)$$

where apparently $\mathbb{T}_{ab}^\pm \in \text{End}((\mathbb{C}^\mathcal{N})^{\otimes N})$. The boundary super algebra also turns out to be an exact symmetry of the double row transfer matrix, however the explicit proof as well as a detailed discussion will be presented elsewhere (see [32]).

It is worth mentioning that as in the case of the non super symmetric quantum algebras appropriate choice of boundary conditions leads to known quantum algebras as boundary symmetries. For instance, if we choose $K \propto \mathbb{I}$ then one may show that the boundary algebra is the $U_q(\mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{m}|\mathfrak{n}))$ (see [32] for details on the proof), which apparently is central to the super symmetric realization of the A -type Hecke algebra (U_0 in this case is trivial).

References

- [1] I.V. Cherednik, Theor. Math. Phys. **61** (1984) 977.
- [2] E.K. Sklyanin, J. Phys. **A21** (1988) 2375.
- [3] H.J. de Vega and A. GonzalezRuiz, J. Phys. **A26** (1993) L519,
H.J. de Vega and A. Gonzalez-Ruiz, J. Phys. **A27** (1994) 6129.
- [4] J. Abad and M. Rios, Phys. Lett. **B352** (1995) 92
- [5] W.L. Yang and Y.Z. Zhang, JHEP **12** (2004) 019.
- [6] W.L. Yang and R. Sasaki, Nucl. Phys. **B679** (2004) 495.
- [7] D. Levy and P.P. Martin, J. Phys. **A27** (1994) L521,
P.P. Martin, D. Woodcock and D. Levy, J. Phys. **A33** (2000) 1265,
P.P. Martin and D. Woodcock, LMS JCM **6** (2003) 249.
- [8] A. Doikou and P.P. Martin, J. Phys. **A36** (2003) 2203.

- [9] P. P. Kulish and A. I. Mudrov, [math/0508289](#),
A. I. Mudrov, Lett. Math. Phys. **60** (2002) 283.
- [10] A. Nichols, J. Stat. Mech. 0509 (2005) P009.
- [11] A. Doikou, Nucl. Phys. **B725** (2005) 493,
A. Doikou, J. Stat. Mech. (2005) P12005.
- [12] D. Arnaudon, N. Crampe, A. Doikou, L. Frappat and E. Ragoucy, Ann. H. Poincare **7**
(2006) 1217.
- [13] A. Lima-Santos, Nucl. Phys. **B558** (1999) 637,
R. Malara, A. Lima-Santos, J. Stat. Mech. 0609 (2006) P013,
A. Lima-Santos, M.J. Martins, Nucl. Phys. **B760** (2007) 184.
- [14] D. Arnaudon, J. Avan, N. Crampe, A. Doikou, L. Frappat and E. Ragoucy, Nucl. Phys.
B668 (2003) 469,
D. Arnaudon, J. Avan, N. Crampe, A. Doikou, L. Frappat and E. Ragoucy, J. Stat.
Mech. 0408 (2004) P005.
- [15] W. Galleas, Nucl. Phys. **B777** (2007) 352.
- [16] R.H. Yue, H. Liang, High Energy Physics and Nuclear Physics 20 (6) (1996) 514;
G.L. Li, R.H. Yue and B.Y. Hou, Nucl. Phys. **B586** (2000) 711.
- [17] S. Belliard and E. Ragoucy, [arXiv:0902.0321](#).
- [18] A. Lima-Santos, W. Galleas, [0806.3659](#),
A. Lima-Santos, [0809.0421](#), and [0810.1766](#).
- [19] Y.Z. Zhang, Commun. Theor. Phys. 29 (1998) 377.
- [20] N. Bourbaki, *Groupes et algebres de Lie*, Ch. 4, Exerc. 22-24, Hermann, Paris 1968.
- [21] G. Olshanski, Lett. Math. Phys. **24** (1992) 93.
- [22] S. Ariki, *Lectures on cyclotomic Hecke algebras*, [arXiv:math.QA/9908005](#),
I. Cherednik, Invent. Math. **106** (1991) 411.
- [23] R.J. Baxter, Ann. Phys. **70** (1972) 193,
R.J. Baxter, J. Stat. Phys. **8** (1973) 25; *Exactly solved models in statistical mechanics*
(Academic Press, 1982).
- [24] P.P. Kulish, J. Sov. Math. **35** (1986) 2648.
- [25] J.H.H. Perk and C.L. Schultz, Phys. Lett. **A84** (1981) 407;
C.L. Schultz, Physica **A 122** (1983) 71.
- [26] M. Jimbo, Lett. Math. Phys. **10** (1985) 63,
M. Jimbo, Lett. Math. Phys. **11** (1986) 247.

- [27] A. Doikou, JHEP05 (2008) 091,
J. Avan and A. Doikou, Nucl. Phys. **B821** [PM] (2009) 481.
- [28] L.A. Takhtajan, *Quantum Groups*, Introduction to Quantum Groups and Integable Massive models of Quantum Field Theory, eds, M.-L. Ge and B.-H. Zhao, Nankai Lectures on Mathematical Physics, World Scientific, 1990, p.p. 69.
- [29] E.K. Sklyanin, L.A. Takhtajan and L.D. Faddeev, Theoret. Math. Phys. **40** N2 (1979) 194.
- [30] P.P. Kulish and E.K. Sklyanin, J. Phys. **A24** (1991) L435.
- [31] A. Doikou, SIGMA **3** (2007) 009.
- [32] A. Doikou, *On boundary super algebras*, to appear.